

Social Management Roundtable

May 2024 Summary Report



June 26, 2024



Contents

SESSION SUMMARY	2
HOUSING & ACCOMMODATION	3
COMMUNITY HEALTH	6
EMERGENCY RESPONSE & TRAFFIC	g
SOLID WASTE	12
EDUCATION	13
COMMUNITY FEEDBACK PROCESS	16
LEARNING HOUR: DIGITALIZATION	16
SOCIAL MANAGEMENT PLAN MONITORING	17
SMR PARTICIPANTS	21



SESSION SUMMARY

LNG Canada hosted the May 2024 Social Management Roundtable (SMR) in Kitimat at the Rod & Gun Club on May 14 and 15. The SMR sessions included:

- · Learning Hour: Digitalization,
- Community Health,
- Housing & Accommodation,
- Education,
- Emergency Response & Traffic, and
- Solid Waste.

Highlights of the May 2024 SMR was continuing the discussion of demobilization, providing an overview of the Human Health Risk Assessment, and identifying the need to have a deeper discussion on evacuation plans. This report focuses on summarizing discussions throughout SMR as well as tracking actions. Additional information provided at the end of the report includes Q1 2024 project metrics.



HOUSING & ACCOMMODATION

During the May 2024 working group session, LNG Canada provided an update on the workforce accommodation strategy and the use of open lodges. All components of the Housing Strategy for Phase 1 have been implemented, which include: (1) Temporary Workforce Accommodation; (2) Company Provided Housing; and (3) Permanent Housing.

Discussion

Temporary Workforce Accommodation

An update was provided for Cedar Valley Lodge with clarification that the future of CVL has not yet been determined. When appropriate, stakeholders will be included in discussing some options; however, there is a commercial element to demobilizing CVL.

The LNG Canada project noted that it is anticipated that open lodges will be used until mid-2024. Project employees staying in an open lodge use the primacy care clinics at each of the lodges. LNG Canada also increased medical services at Horizon North to comply with standard of care associated with the Project to date.

Participants were asked if they had noticed increased activities or interactions in community due to the Project's use of open lodges. Participants noted that additional people in the community were visible at restaurants and grocery stores; however, it is challenging to know if they are part of the LNG Canada project or another company in town. No concerns were raised from participants.

Company Provided Housing

LNG Canada provided an update with respect to company provided housing in both Kitimat and Terrace. The current housing stock includes 87% of the corporate leases being held in Kitimat with the majority being purpose built with a lease agreement in advance of construction. There are not significant leases expiring in 2024 with some leases set to end in 2025 (Nalabila Townhomes).

Participants were keen hear of potential opportunities to utilize units under corporate leases where individuals vacate before the end date. LNG Canada noted they are currently working with community partners but there will not likely be available leases until 2026.

The City of Terrace noted that housing prices in Terrace were the highest in Northwest BC in the last year. In terms of LNG Canada's impact on housing prices in Terrace, LNG Canada responded that the majority of the housing that have been leased were done with a lease agreement in place prior to construction and the units are net new to the market. Additionally, there are many factors that impact the price of a home, with demand being only one of those factors. The macro-economic environment of high construction interest rates, competitive labour



market and remote geographical location all inform the cost to build which has the greater impact on housing costs. The City of Terrace further noted that any housing being built for LNG Canada is then not being built for someone else in the community.

The City of Terrace asked where the corporate leases were located in Terrace and noted that it's hard to understand what the impact of LNG Canada is in Terrace. There are 20 units in Terrace, spread across units on Cory Drive, Smith Avenue, Munroe Street, Twedle Avenue, Wirtl Street, and Yeo Street. LNG Canada responded that there are a number of factors that impact housing starts and that there are other factors impacting the market in Terrace, including the construction of the new hospital.

The District of Kitimat asked when the Blackberry units were going back into the market. LNG Canada responded that the units were delivered in seven different groups which all have differing lease end dates. The groups with different release dates creates a slower release of Blackberry Street.

Permanent Housing

A third component of the Housing Strategy is considering housing for those who are permanently relocating to the region, which includes revitalizing existing housing and new development.

Existing Housing:

Through LNG Canada home renovation program and home purchasing bonus, a total of \$2.7 Million has been spent in region. Most renovations include bathrooms, kitchens, flooring, landscaping, and new appliances. 69% of the total eligible people have utilized this program.

The housing renovation incentive will continue until 2027 and has been extended to include employees choosing to live in Terrace. Amendments were made based on feedback from the workforce and City of Terrace.

New Development:

Forest Hill Heights is a partially development neighborhood, with municipal servicing to the area and identified an appropriate area for residential land use within the *Kitimat Municipal Code*. LNG Canada employees have a Home Purchase Option allowing for early access to new construction before available on the open market. Home will be constructed in a range of sizes and styles.



Community Vacancy Rates

The District of Kitimat updated participants on the current vacancy rate in Kitimat, which is believed to be sitting around 10% with average units being rented for \$1,500. Work is currently being undertaken to update the Housing Needs Assessment and how to measure true vacancy rates given that some units are inhabitable, and few are accessible.

The City of Terrace notes that a lot of affordable housing is currently being developed and a senior's housing project is very close to breaking ground. The City of Terrace recognized and thanked LNG Canada for their support in the Housing Conference that took place in March 2024, which had over 100 attendees.

Housing & Accommodation Action Items

2023-18 LNG Canada to consider inviting local developers to present at SMR.

Status: Closed. LNG Canada may consider this in the future; however, the

current focus is on commissioning, start up, and workforce

demobilization.

2023-19 LNG Canada to report on the number of LNG Canada direct hires.

Status: Closed. As of April 22, 2024, there are 281 direct hire employees.

Seek clarification regarding if employees staying in company provided housing

still fall under the Industrial Camp Regulation.

Status: Closed. JFJV reviewed the Industrial Camp Regulation to confirm

it is not applicable to company-provided housing.

2024-02 JFJV will provide information about their housing units.

Status: Closed. JFJV has 25 housing units in Kitimat. Subcontractor lease

information is not available.



COMMUNITY HEALTH

During the SMR working group session, LNG Canada provided an overview of the health risk assessments that was completed as part of the WDA. The presentation includes ambient air modelling results in relation to the BC 2020 Ambient Air Quality Objectives and discussion of impact from a toxicology perspective.

At the beginning of the presentation, the Ministry of Health asked if the presentation would include the Social Determinants of Health, specifically the effects as the workforce transitions from construction to operation (**Action 2024-03**). LNG Canada noted that much of the construction and operational staff are not the same and there are several resources offered. Resources include on-site counselling service and a designated Mental Health Coordinator position.

Q&A Summary

Flaring

- Are there anticipated dates for when flaring will begin? Notification?
 - Answer: Anticipated dates for flaring are subject to change during commissioning and startup. The focus during this period will be to complete the construction, commissioning, and startup activities safely. There is a flaring notification plan as well as a Good Neighbor notification plan in place.
- Initially, we will be experiencing longer durations of flaring, with larger flare heights. How many days/weeks/months does that translate to?
 - Answer: With limited interruptions during commissioning and startup, flaring may take place up to 30 days, as a mid-point. The goal is to minimize flaring as much as possible, but also ensure that the flare is working as intended.
- This presentation and modelling is based on Trains 1 and 2. If there are Trains 3 and 4, what would that look like?
 - Answer: The air quality modelling and the human health risk assessment for the Waste Discharge Authorization was conducted for Trains 1 and 2. If the final investment decision for Trains 3 and 4 is positive, an updated scenario will be modelled in the future. In this updated scenario, air quality monitoring data when Trains 1 and 2 are operating will be used to establish existing air quality conditions, while emissions from Trains 3 and 4 would be modelled. We expect that the dispersion behavior of emissions in the atmosphere to be similar to the current air quality modelling predictions.



Air Quality Monitoring

- Is there a seasonality to air quality?
 - Answer: Yes, the monitoring data is expected to show seasonal differences as a result of the changing weather patterns.
- Do all six stations measure the same things?
 - Answer: All six air quality monitoring stations will have weather monitoring. Each monitoring station will measure a slightly different combination of emissions based on their proximity to emission sources. LNG Canada will add additional nitrogen oxides (NOx) monitoring to some of these stations.
- Are any of these air quality monitoring stations owned by LNG Canada?
 - Answer: The air quality monitoring stations are owned by Rio Tinto. LNG Canada is working with Rio Tinto to add nitrogen oxides (NOx) sensors to some of these stations based on their proximity to the LNG Canada facility.
- Any plans to add cameras on the flare stacks? This could increase transparency in the community.
 - Answer: LNG Canada has internal cameras, and the BC Energy Regulator can access the data, if requested. It will not be shared publicly due to the potential security risks.
 - Follow up: How is there risk in having that data available to the public?
 - Answer: There are cybersecurity and terrorism related risks when making security camera video available to the public. For example, computer hackers can access and control the cameras, and possibly use the camera's network access to gain access and control of computer systems.

Human Health

- How does exposure to air emissions affect the human body?
 - O Answer: When sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) react with water, they form a weak acid. In the atmosphere, this reaction takes the form of acid rain. When people inhale SO₂ and NO₂, these gases react with the moisture in the eyes, nose, and respiratory system. If the exposure concentration is high enough, this can lead to symptoms of irritation of the eyes and respiratory system, or exacerbate existing respiratory issues such as asthma.
- What are the thresholds for SO₂ and NO₂ for human health risk?
 - O Answer: The thresholds for human health risk associated with SO₂ and NO₂ are based on existing air quality guidelines from provincial, federal, and international health regulatory agencies. Air quality guidelines are generally developed to be protective of the most health-sensitive people, which in the case of SO₂ and NO₂, are people with asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder (COPD) and other respiratory issues.



- Is the health risk from NO₂ related to the short-term air quality objectives?
 - Answer: Short-term health risks from NO₂ are characterized using the BC Ambient Air Quality Objective for 1-hour NO2. Long-term health risks apply the annual average NO2 objective.
- The previous analysis was done based on the 2015 BC Ambient Air Quality Objectives. These objectives have been updated since then. Does the current study use the most recent BC Ambient Air Quality Objectives?
 - o Answer: The Regulator determines which regulations LNG Canada must follow. For the Waste Discharge Authorization, LNG Canada has applied the 2020 BC Ambient Air Quality Objectives, which are the ones that are legally applicable at the time of the WDA application.
- Mental health impacts of the flare how will LNG Canada handle questions from the community?
 - Answer: There has been a lot of engagements with the public to date. Last spring, LNG Canada conducted focus group work to hear what is important to the community. In October 2023, public engagements were kicked off, which included a 'what we heard' presentation (e.g., light, noise, pollution, marine navigation). There has been a total of 51 engagement sessions on safe start-up and a site tour. Approximately 1,800 individuals from DOK and Haisla Nations have been engaged.

Community Health Action Items

2023-20 LNG Canada and Kitimat RCMP meet with discuss injury reporting protocol.

> Closed. Kitimat RCMP attending a meeting with LNG Canada on-Status:

> > site on February 7.

2023-21 LNG Canada, JFJV, and Northern Health meet to discuss medical service

triggers and the industrial transportation protocol.

Closed. This was reviewed at the February monthly connect with Status:

NHA.

2023-22 LNG Canada will provide an update on workforce forecasting.

> Closed. An update was provided during the May SMR and will Status:

continue in future sessions as part of demobilization.

2023-23 LNG Canada and Northern Health to connect on potential committees that exist

in supporting placement of workers.

Status: Open.

2024-03 Consider discussing the Social Determinants of Health at a future SMR.

> Status: New.



EMERGENCY RESPONSE & TRAFFIC

Discussion

During the May 2024 SMR session, JFJV provided an update with respect to site services and service levels on site. During the update, it was noted that there is a shift in process chemicals with procurement increasing on site.

From a training perspective, JIBC has been providing training to project emergency response teams, which has been modeled after KFAS [16 responders per shift, day and night coverage, and primary care paramedics (PCP) and advanced care paramedics (ACP)] as well as cross-training emergency responders. Wildfire training has also been added to 2024 training in preparation for the seasonal risk.

Evacuations

The Ministry of Health asked what the plan is to evacuate Cedar Valley Lodge during a community-wide order. JFJV noted they have plans in place that have been proven to have the capacity to return all workers to their homebase within about 2.5 days. Clarification was provided that 'to home base' means via charter flights and just getting workers off site. Additional plans and contingencies are in place depending on the evacuation type and risk to the community and site.

The Ministry of Health built on the evacuation discussion to ask if there is a process in Kitimat with respect to hotels. DOK noted that if an evacuation is required within the municipal boundaries, the municipality is fully involved. All emergency plans require implementation with partnership of the DOK.

Haisla Nation identified a current gap and asked for further discussion on LNG Canada and JFJV's evacuation plans with respect to getting locals home during an event and how this will be communicated to them from a journey management perspective. There is risk in not understanding the protocol of how employees will be transported home if there is a need to evacuate site. Further conversation to ensure employees are safe if there is a requirement to shelter-in-place was requested as well. Participants expressed a desire to have further meetings to discuss evacuation processes (Action 2024-04).



Communication

Following the discussion on evacuations, LNG Canada asked if the DOK have the necessary communication channels needed from site. The DOK indicated there is greater understanding needed in how emergency response is coordinated. Northern Health asked that EMCR be involved in the discussions.

Resource Allocation for Local Emergencies

Building on the conversation from evacuation and municipal-industry communications, Northern Health noted that there have been instances where an evacuation order is put in place and industry has 30 buses while they only have three. The DOK confirmed that once a state of local emergency is declared, it doesn't matter who owns the buses and the DOK will take control, including resource allocation. LNG Canada and DOK also noted there is continued work in having a mutual aid agreement. Northern Health ask if CGL was included in the mutual aid agreement discussions (Action 2024-05).

Workforce Rampdown

The Ministry of Health and Northern Health expressed interest in understanding what is expected to happen in community while workers are demobilizing with respect to domestic violence and emergency response (Action 2024-06) as well as how many camp workers are expected to be staying in region. LNG Canada noted that workers are provided the means to get home; however, they cannot force employees to board planes. JFJV also noted that some workers are moving from one contractor to another. Individuals boarding flights and the worker's next employers is not tracked.

LNG Canada Emergency Response Exercise and Engagement Updates

LNG Canada provided an overview of emergency response exercise that took place in the first four months of 2024, which included:

- 1. February 29 Incident Management Tabletop Exercise for LNG Canada employees who completed ICS 300 earlier that week.
- 2. April 19 Marine Response Drill with Bridgemans, LNG Canada stood up a partial IMT and included agency and stakeholder notifications.
- 3. April 24 Marine Response Drill with Bridgemans, LNG Canada stood up a partial IMT and included agency and stakeholder notifications.
- 4. April 26 Full scale exercise with BCER observing and evaluating LNG Canada's Emergency Response Plan.



In addition to the exercises, LNG Canada had both Kitsumkalum and Haisla Fire Chiefs on site in Q1 2024 for an emergency response-specific site tour. The Haisla Fire Department noted that they were invited to attend the exercises as well as was given a site tour specific to Emergency Response. They found the exercises and tour very informative and created a greater understanding of what is happening inside the fence.

ER & Traffic Action Items

2023-24 LNG Canada look to invite Northern Health to scenario training meetings.

Status: Closed. NHA was invited to the exercise noted in 2023-24 action

item. Further requests can be discussed at monthly connects.

2023-25 LNG Canada look to invite DOK, NHA, EMCR, HEMBC and RCMP to observe an

on-site exercise.

Status: Closed. Agencies were invited to observe an exercise on 29 Feb.

2023-26 LNG Canada will meet with RCMP once the Site Security Risk Assessment is

complete.

Status: Closed. Kitimat RCMP attending a meeting with LNG Canada on-

site on February 7.

2024-04 LNG Canada to hold a meeting to discuss evacuation protocols to get employees home safely with DOK, Haisla First Nation, EMCR, Kitselas First Nation, COT, Kitsumkalum First Nation, Northern Health, HEMBC, and open lodge operators.

Status: New.

2024-05 Confirm if CGL is included in the mutual aid agreement discussions currently underway between LNG Canada and DOK.

Status: Closed. LNG Canada confirmed that CGL is not currently involved

in discussions with LNG Canada and DOK.

2024-06 Potential future discussion on what is anticipated to occur in community during demobilization with respect to domestic violence and emergency response as well as the positive and negative impacts from workers choosing to stay in the community.

Status: New.



SOLID WASTE

Discussion

During May 2024 SMR, LNG Canada provided an overview of the progress made in the last year with RDKS Forceman Ridge from a relationship perspective and having a successful three-month pilot. Despite the progress with RDKS, the Project still plans on maintaining a permit with RDBN to ensure waste can continued to be handled within the region. Waste management, including the handling of topsoil, was noted as an area for improvement in impact assessments for future projects.

Site Initiatives & Updates:

- RDKS Forceman Ridge came for a site tour in Q1 2024.
- LNG Canada's Administration Building is operational and tried to remove all paper cups; however, there are some union contracts that require some paper cups. In working within contract parameters, paper cups have been reduced but are still available.
- JFJV is currently working on a donation and inventory list for demobilizing trailers. Next, JFJV will work to understand where there is need within the community.
- LNG Canada provided \$1.5 Million towards the DOK organics facility from an impact management perspective.

District of Kitimat Updates

- Reduced number of garbage bins from weekly to biweekly and six bins to two.
- Final stages of receiving new permit for landfill, which anticipated lifespan of 10 years due to organics facility expected to be operational Fall 2024.
- Hoping community uptake for organics will increase as it currently sits at 35% usership.

Solid Waste Action Items

2023-27 Share LNG Canada's waste jeopardy game with RDKS.

Status: Closed.

2023-28 Assess Project's ability to provide Forceman Ridge a site tour around safety day.

Status: Closed.

2024-07 LNG Canada will share operational waste forecast with the DOK.

Status: Closed. Information was sent on May 17, 2024.



EDUCATION

The intent of the Education Working Group session was to build on the work from 2023 and to discuss any further impacts felt throughout the 2023-2024 school year. During the session, LNG Canada provided an update with respect to construction and preparation for commissioning and startup. They noted that the project is nearing completion (~90%) and that most of the permanent workforce have been hired so they do not expect further increases in enrolment from Project families in September. The Project may impact enrolment while families are demobilizing, which is expected to start in 2024.

Coast Mountain School District 82 also provided an update from a District-level, which noted:

- Budget conversations and recruitment are underway for the 2024-25 school year.
- There has not been a large number of retirements this year; however, 25% of the current teachers are within the retirement window.
- Kitimat accounts for 10 11 teachers that have been hired on Letters of Permission.
- K-3 Literacy Program has seen success in the schools and they are considering extending to higher grade levels.
- Currently working with BC Children's Hospital on a mental health plan, which is aimed to be implemented next year.
- There is work underway on creating healthy habits in students with personal electronic devices
- Recognizing LNG Canada's strong support in implementing the District's Strategic Plan.
- Kildala's Before and After-School care program will be open year-round start in September 2025. If there are available spots, they will be offered to St. Anthony's.

Haisla Community School noted they have undergone an assessment of the current school and anticipate results in late-May or early-June. At the moment, they are working on a community garden and planning the year end feast.

The District of Kitimat published a video for Kitimat Bound in April 2024 featuring a teacher from Nechako Elementary. The videos have been helpful in building website content and has led to 100 leads to jobs in the community. The District of Kitimat has asked if there is a single point of contact that potential candidates can be referred to (**Action 2024-08**).

At the end of the session, LNG Canada asked if there are any other project or initiatives that LNG Canada may be able to consider supporting. Projects and initiatives are reflected in **Actions 2024-09 to -12**.



Education Action Items

2023-15 LNG Canada and CMSD82 discuss potential funding to support UNBC program delivery to unlicensed teachers in Kitimat.

Status: Closed. CMSD82 and LNG Canada met on November 6th to

discuss application made to the Province of BC as well as

potential support.

2023-30 LNG Canada to discuss demobilization forecasts with CMSD82 and St. Anthony's in Q1 2024 to support provincial budgeting requirements. Must be provided by March at the very latest.

Status: Closed. Demobilization numbers were sent on March 5, 2024.

2023-30 LNG Canada to provide permanent workforce and demobilization data.

Status: Closed. LNG Canada will continue to provided through

discussions at SMR and with CMSD82.

2023-31 CMSD82 and LNG Canada meet in Q1 2024 to discuss opportunities to work with career counsellors.

Status: Closed. LNG Canada Workforce Development has met and

continues to work with contacts provided by CMSD82.

2023-32 CMSD82 determine if further support is needed for the hiring incentive for the 2024/2025 school year.

Status: Closed. LNG Canada has offered providing funding for hiring

incentives to CMSD82 (Kitimat schools), St. Anthony's, and

Haisla Community School.

2023-33 Request that the January site tour offered to teachers be expanded beyond solely teachers but also support roles.

Status: Closed. A second tour was offered to any CMSD82 or St.

Anthony's employees in January.

2023-34 CMSD82 and LNG Canada's Workforce Development meet to discuss programming options in the schools and during spring break.

Status: Closed.

2024-07 LNG Canada will reach out to CMSD82, Haisla Community School and St. Anthony's to offer funding for teacher appreciations and year end celebrations.

Status: Closed.



2024-08 CMSD82 provide the DOK with contact information that can be distributed to potential candidates reaching out through the Kitimat Bound website.

Status: New & Closed. Contact information was shared.

2024-09 CMSD82 will reach out to LNG Canada regarding a potential numeracy program.

Status: New.

2004-10 LNG Canada to connect Haisla Community School with First Robotics to discuss potentially extending the programming, with mentorship.

Status: New & Closed. An email connecting the parties was sent June 13.

2024-11 LNG Canada to meet with Kildala and Nechako Elementary on their current work with Kitimat Arts for Youth and the music programs at the elementary schools.

Status: New. Email was sent on June 13 to begin discussions.

2024-12 LNG Canada to meet with Kitimat schools to understand the impact of having an English Language Learners program.

Status: New.



COMMUNITY FEEDBACK PROCESS

LNG Canada launched its Community Feedback Process (CFP) in April 2024. This process offers different ways for community members to contact us with questions, concerns, and general comments during our facility's start-up and commissioning activities and moving into our operations period. The process was tested again using multiple standards (UNDP), using lessons learned from JVP and JFJV's process, and working with community partners in forming the current model. An overview of the ways the CFP has already been communicated in the Kitimat and Kitamaat. Participants requested further CFP Information (Action 2024-13).

2024-13 Share information of LNG Canada's Community Feedback Process

Status: New & Closed. LNG Canada's CFP FAQ will be distributed with

May 2024 Summary Report.

LEARNING HOUR: DIGITALIZATION

LNG Canada presented on their approach to enhance work that is being done on site with respect to technology. There were live demonstrations of the Digital Twin, Smart Gas Detectors, drone, and Spot the Robot Dog. Participants had an opportunity to ask questions related to the technology used on-site.



SOCIAL MANAGEMENT PLAN MONITORING

Table 4 to Table 8 summarize the Project data for Q1 2024. Metrics are gathered through LNG Canada and JFJV internal reporting systems with respect to movement and activities of workforce and equipment to support construction activities at the LNG Plant site in Kitimat.

Table 1 Housing & Accommodation Metrics

	January	February	March
Total Employed Workforce	9,148	8,851	9,391
Non-Local Workforce	8,418	8,211	8,689
Local Area Workforce	730	640	702
Indigenous Workforce	475	501	494
Women at Site	1079	969	1,019
Project non-local workforce temporarily housed at Cedar Valley Lodge (max # guests)	4,402	4,376	4,446
Project non-local workforce temporarily housed at Cedar Valley Lodge (min # guests)	1,705	4,072	4,008
Project staff re-located to Kitimat, presently staying in company provided housing	164	164	164
Accompanying partner or spouses of relocated Project Staff	84	82	82
Number of housing-related concerns or complaints	0	0	0
Use of Open Lodges (Horizon North & Sitka) ¹	1,401	1,646	1,814
Use of Hotels in Kitimat (peak night)	15	21	17

¹ This is representative of unique stays (one individual staying multiple times is considered one)



Table 2 Traffic Metrics

	January	February	March
Number of daily Project bus trips fromNorthwest Regional Airport (YXT) to Kitimat (avg. passengers /day) ²	17 (373) ³	15 (325)	14 (315)
Number of daily Project bus trips fromTerrace Park & Ride to Kitimat (avg. passengers/day) ⁴	13 (90)	9 (92)	8 (76)
Number of daily Project bus trips fromKitimat and Kitamaat Village Park & Ride to Site (avg. passengers /day) ⁴	15 (279)	15 (318)	18 (322)
Total number of road transport-related incidents and near misses off site ⁴	1	0	1
Number of Project personnel on commercial flights through airport per month	541	657	598
Number of Project personnel on chartered flights through airport permonth	11,760 ⁵	9,647	10,187
Number of traffic-related concerns or complaints ⁵	2	0	1

Table 3 Education Metrics

	January	February	March
Local Area Workforce	730	640	702
Project staff re-located to Kitimat, presently staying in company provided housing	164	164	164
Accompanying partner or spouses of relocated Project Staff	84	82	82
Accompanying children of relocated Project Staff	121	121	122
Number of educations-related concerns or complaints	0	0	0

² Avg. passengers per day rounded up to whole numbers.

³ Increase due to ramp-up after the holiday season.

⁴ January off site incident was on Highway 37 requiring medical treatment; March incident resulted in no injuries, property loss damage only.

⁵ Two concerns regarding driver behavior off-site (one found not to be tied to Project) and one complaint about some Terrace residents not taking shuttle.



Table 4 Emergency Response & Health Metrics

	January	February	March
Number of workplace occupational injuries and illnesses requiring treatment at local hospitals ⁶	8	8	2
Number of workplace non- occupational injuries and illnesses requiring treatment at local hospitals ²	15	24	27
Number of workplace injuries or illnesses requiring medevac	0	0	0
Number of recordable occupational injuries	5	4	3
Number of visits to Project medical clinics for non- occupational injury or illness	986	895	1,038
Number of notifications to health authorities for occurrence of communicable illnesses/diseases	1	1	2
Percentage of worked who have signed the Worker Code of Conduct	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of workers who have completed Cultural Awareness Training	100%	100%	100%
Number of community health-related concerns or complaints	27	0	0

⁶ Total injuries or appointments requiring off-site treatment for Q3 were: 11 x-ray and 74 ER. ⁷ Both complaints received were redirected from Community Feedback Mechanism to JFJV Labour Relations



Table 5 Waste Metrics

Waste Sent for Recycling	Waste Streams	Jan	Feb	March
Cardboard 23.19 20.57 25.33 Metal, Ferrous & Non-Ferrous 49.42 38.83 0.43 1.98 2.55 2.40 2.40 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 1.4 2.51 2.87 2.51 2.5				
Metal, Ferrous & Non-Ferrous 49.42 38.83 0.43 Hard & Soft Plastics 1.98 2.55 2.40 Paper 2.51 2.87 1.4 Electronic Waste 0 2.58 0 Concrete 15.32 33.06 51.62 Asphalt 0 0 0 0 Used Oil for Recycling 0 0 0 0 Recovered Flammable liquids & Glycols 0 5.25 0.262 0ily Plastics and IBC Totes (LF Diversion) 0 0 0 83.6 0 25.33 105.60 25.33 105.60 25.33 105.60 25.33 105.60 25.33 105.60 25.33 105.60 25.33 105.60 25.33 105.60 25.33 105.60 25.33 105.60 25.33 105.60 25.33 105.60 25.33 105.60 25.33 105.60 25.33 77.87 25.60 29.86 30.45 25.33 77.87 25.60 29.86 30.45 25.00	Waste Sent for Recycling			
Hard & Soft Plastics	Cardboard	23.19	20.57	25.33
Paper	Metal, Ferrous & Non-Ferrous	49.42	38.83	0.43
Electronic Waste	Hard & Soft Plastics	1.98	2.55	2.40
Concrete	Paper	2.51	2.87	1.4
Asphalt	Electronic Waste	0	2.58	0
Used Oil for Recycling	Concrete	15.32	33.06	51.62
Recovered Flammable liquids & Glycols	Asphalt	0	0	0
Oily Plastics and IBC Totes (LF Diversion) 0 0 83.6 Clean wood incinerated onsite (LF diversion) 30.8 105.60 25.33 Total 123.22 211.31 164.78 Cedar Valley Lodge Waste and Water Treatment Municipal Waste generated at Cedar Valley Lodge 51.39 58.98 77.87 Effluent flow rates from Cedar Valley Lodge wastewater treatment system (m3/day) 25.6 29.86 30.45 Sludge Cake for compost and re-use 111.64 124.73 140.98 Non-Hazardous Waste Disposed at Forceman Ridge, RDKS Construction & Demolition (C&D) Waste 71.92 100.45 123.07 Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) 73.48 65.79 69.71 Treated Wood (includes painted wood) 48.5 85.02 80.52 Clean Wood 0 0 0 0 Organics for compost and re-use (CVL) 51.62 39.82 45.78 Soil as cover 0 0 0 0 Concrete 0 0 0 0 Waste Disposed at Approved Facilities Outside of the Region (Fo	Used Oil for Recycling	0	0	0
Total 123.22 211.31 164.78	Recovered Flammable liquids & Glycols	0	5.25	0.262
Total 123.22 211.31 164.78 Cedar Valley Lodge Waste and Water Treatment Municipal Waste generated at Cedar Valley Lodge 51.39 58.98 77.87 Effluent flow rates from Cedar Valley Lodge wastewater treatment system (m3/day) 25.6 29.86 30.45 Sludge Cake for compost and re-use 111.64 124.73 140.98 Non-Hazardous Waste Disposed at Forceman Ridge, RDKS Construction & Demolition (C&D) Waste 71.92 100.45 123.07 Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) 73.48 65.79 69.71 Treated Wood (includes painted wood) 48.5 85.02 80.52 Clean Wood 0 0 0 0 0 Organics for compost and re-use (CVL) 51.62 39.82 45.78 8 50! 39.82 45.78 8 50! 30.82 45.78 50! 30.82 45.78 50! 30.82 45.78 50! 30.82 45.78 50! 30.82 45.78 50! 30.82 45.78 50! 30.82 45.78 50! 30.8	Oily Plastics and IBC Totes (LF Diversion)	0	0	83.6
Cedar Valley Lodge Waste and Water Treatment 51.39 58.98 77.87 Effluent flow rates from Cedar Valley Lodge wastewater treatment system (m3/day) 25.6 29.86 30.45 Sludge Cake for compost and re-use 111.64 124.73 140.98 Non-Hazardous Waste Disposed at Forceman Ridge, RDKS Construction & Demolition (C&D) Waste 71.92 100.45 123.07 Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) 73.48 65.79 69.71 Treated Wood (includes painted wood) 48.5 85.02 80.52 Clean Wood 0 0 0 0 Organics for compost and re-use (CVL) 51.62 39.82 45.78 Soil as cover 0 0 0 0 Concrete 0 0 0 0 Waste Disposed at Approved Facilities Outside of the Region Non-hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (Food waste etc.) 9.59 4.45 77.87 Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (TDG regulated- landfilled or recovered) 8.94 1.6 14.12 Hazardous, Non- Regulated waste (non TDG, burial in engine	Clean wood incinerated onsite (LF diversion)	30.8	105.60	25.33
Municipal Waste generated at Cedar Valley Lodge 51.39 58.98 77.87 Effluent flow rates from Cedar Valley Lodge wastewater treatment system (m3/day) 25.6 29.86 30.45 Sludge Cake for compost and re-use 111.64 124.73 140.98 Non-Hazardous Waste Disposed at Forceman Ridge, RDKS 8 100.45 123.07 Construction & Demolition (C&D) Waste 71.92 100.45 123.07 Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) 73.48 65.79 69.71 Treated Wood (includes painted wood) 48.5 85.02 80.52 Clean Wood 0 0 0 Organics for compost and re-use (CVL) 51.62 39.82 45.78 Soil as cover 0 0 0 0 Concrete 0 0 0 0 Waste Disposed at Approved Facilities Outside of the Region 9.59 4.45 77.87 Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (Food waste etc.) 8.94 1.6 14.12 Hazardous, Non- Regulated waste (non TDG, burial in engineered landfill, Nonregulated liquids & solids) <td< td=""><td>Total</td><td>123.22</td><td>211.31</td><td>164.78</td></td<>	Total	123.22	211.31	164. 78
Effluent flow rates from Cedar Valley Lodge wastewater treatment system (m3/day) Sludge Cake for compost and re-use Non-Hazardous Waste Disposed at Forceman Ridge, RDKS Construction & Demolition (C&D) Waste Total Value (CAD) Waste (MSW) Organics for compost and re-use (CVL) Soil as cover Concrete Non-hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (Food waste etc.) Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (TDG regulated- landfilled or recovered) Hazardous, Non-Regulated waste (non TDG, burial in engineered landfill, Nonregulated liquids & solids) Total Project-generated Waste Liquids 25.6 29.86 30.45 30.45 29.86 30.45 30.45 30.45 29.86 30.45 30.45 111.64 124.73 140.98 29.86 30.45 30.45 140.98 29.86 30.45 30.45 140.98 29.86 30.45 30.45 140.98 29.86 30.45 30.41 30.71	Cedar Valley Lodge Waste and Water Treatment			
Treatment system (m3/day) 25.6 29.86 30.45	Municipal Waste generated at Cedar Valley Lodge	51.39	58.98	77.87
Sludge Cake for compost and re-use Non-Hazardous Waste Disposed at Forceman Ridge, RDKS Construction & Demolition (C&D) Waste Treated Wood (includes painted wood) Clean Wood Organics for compost and re-use (CVL) Soil as cover Concrete Total Total Total Vaste Disposed at Approved Facilities Outside of the region (Food waste etc.) Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (TDG regulated- landfilled or recovered) Total Project-generated Waste Solids Total Project-generated Waste Liquids 1111.64 124.73 140.98 1111.64 124.73 140.98 124.73 124.79 125.07 126.77 127.87 127.87 128.72 129.72 120.45 123.07 120.45 120.45 120.45 120.45 120.45 120.45 120.45 120.45 120.45		25.6	29.86	30.45
Non-Hazardous Waste Disposed at Forceman Ridge, RDKS 100.45 123.07 Construction & Demolition (C&D) Waste 71.92 100.45 123.07 Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) 73.48 65.79 69.71 Treated Wood (includes painted wood) 48.5 85.02 80.52 Clean Wood 0 0 0 Organics for compost and re-use (CVL) 51.62 39.82 45.78 Soil as cover 0 0 0 Concrete 0 0 0 Concrete 0 0 0 Waste Disposed at Approved Facilities Outside of the Region 319.08 Waste Disposed at Approved Facilities Outside of the Region 9.59 4.45 77.87 Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (Food waste etc.) 9.59 4.45 77.87 Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (TDG regulated-landfilled or recovered) 8.94 1.6 14.12 Hazardous, Non- Regulated waste (non TDG, burial in engineered landfill, Nonregulated liquids & solids) 87.05 135.43 5.94 Total Project-		111.64	124.73	140.98
Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) 73.48 65.79 69.71 Treated Wood (includes painted wood) 48.5 85.02 80.52 Clean Wood 0 0 0 Organics for compost and re-use (CVL) 51.62 39.82 45.78 Soil as cover 0 0 0 Concrete 0 0 0 Concrete 0 0 0 Waste Disposed at Approved Facilities Outside of the Region Total 245.52 291.08 319.08 Non-hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (Food waste etc.) 9.59 4.45 77.87 Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (TDG regulated- landfilled or recovered) 8.94 1.6 14.12 Hazardous, Non- Regulated waste (non TDG, burial in engineered landfill, Nonregulated liquids & solids) 87.05 135.43 5.94 Total Project-generated Waste Solids 637.35 306.1 800.64 Total Project-generated Waste Liquids 25.6 35.11 30.71				
Treated Wood (includes painted wood) Clean Wood O	Construction & Demolition (C&D) Waste	71.92	100.45	123.07
Clean Wood 0 0 0 Organics for compost and re-use (CVL) 51.62 39.82 45.78 Soil as cover 0 0 0 0 Concrete 0 0 0 0 Total 245.52 291.08 319.08 Waste Disposed at Approved Facilities Outside of the Region Non-hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (Food waste etc.) 9.59 4.45 77.87 Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (TDG regulated- landfilled or recovered) 8.94 1.6 14.12 Hazardous, Non- Regulated waste (non TDG, burial in engineered landfill, Nonregulated liquids & solids) 87.05 135.43 5.94 Total Project-generated Waste Solids 637.35 306.1 800.64 Total Project-generated Waste Liquids 25.6 35.11 30.71	Municipal Solid Waste (MSW)	73.48	65.79	69.71
Organics for compost and re-use (CVL) Soil as cover O O O O O Concrete Total Z45.52 Z91.08 319.08 Waste Disposed at Approved Facilities Outside of the Region Non-hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (Food waste etc.) Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (TDG regulated- landfilled or recovered) Hazardous, Non- Regulated waste (non TDG, burial in engineered landfill, Nonregulated liquids & solids) Total Project-generated Waste Solids 51.62 39.82 45.78 0 0 0 0 0 77.87 4.45 77.87 14.12 15.43 5.94 16.14.12	Treated Wood (includes painted wood)	48.5	85.02	80.52
Soil as cover 0 0 0 0 Concrete 0 0 0 0 Total 245.52 291.08 319.08 Waste Disposed at Approved Facilities Outside of the Region Non-hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (Food waste etc.) 9.59 4.45 77.87 Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (TDG regulated- landfilled or recovered) 8.94 1.6 14.12 Hazardous, Non- Regulated waste (non TDG, burial in engineered landfill, Nonregulated liquids & solids) 87.05 135.43 5.94 Total Project-generated Waste Solids 637.35 306.1 800.64 Total Project-generated Waste Liquids 25.6 35.11 30.71	Clean Wood	0	0	0
Concrete 0 0 0 0 Total 245.52 291.08 319.08 Waste Disposed at Approved Facilities Outside of the Region Non-hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (Food waste etc.) 9.59 4.45 77.87 Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (TDG regulated- landfilled or recovered) 8.94 1.6 14.12 Hazardous, Non- Regulated waste (non TDG, burial in engineered landfill, Nonregulated liquids & solids) 87.05 135.43 5.94 Total Project-generated Waste Solids 637.35 306.1 800.64 Total Project-generated Waste Liquids 25.6 35.11 30.71	Organics for compost and re-use (CVL)	51.62	39.82	45.78
Waste Disposed at Approved Facilities Outside of the Region Non-hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (Food waste etc.) Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (TDG regulated- landfilled or recovered) Hazardous, Non- Regulated waste (non TDG, burial in engineered landfill, Nonregulated liquids & solids) Total Project-generated Waste Solids Total Project-generated Waste Liquids 319.08 291.08 319.08 319.08 87.05 4.45 77.87 14.12 4.45 77.87 15.94 16. 14.12 17.87 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 1.6 18.94 18.	Soil as cover	0	0	0
Waste Disposed at Approved Facilities Outside of the Region Non-hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (Food waste etc.) Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (TDG regulated- landfilled or recovered) Hazardous, Non- Regulated waste (non TDG, burial in engineered landfill, Nonregulated liquids & solids) Total Project-generated Waste Solids Total Project-generated Waste Liquids 25.6 35.11 30.71	Concrete	0	0	0
Non-hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (Food waste etc.) Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (TDG regulated- landfilled or recovered) Hazardous, Non- Regulated waste (non TDG, burial in engineered landfill, Nonregulated liquids & solids) Total Project-generated Waste Solids 77.87 4.45 77.87 8.94 1.6 14.12 87.05 135.43 5.94 Total Project-generated Waste Solids 637.35 306.1 800.64 Total Project-generated Waste Liquids	Total	245.52	291.08	319.08
the region (Food waste etc.) Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (TDG regulated- landfilled or recovered) Hazardous, Non- Regulated waste (non TDG, burial in engineered landfill, Nonregulated liquids & solids) Total Project-generated Waste Solids 9.59 4.45 77.87 14.12 8.94 1.6 14.12 87.05 135.43 5.94 Total Project-generated Waste Solids 637.35 306.1 800.64 Total Project-generated Waste Liquids	Waste Disposed at Approved Facilities Outside of the Region	n		
Hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of the region (TDG regulated- landfilled or recovered)8.941.614.12Hazardous, Non- Regulated waste (non TDG, burial in engineered landfill, Nonregulated liquids & solids)87.05135.435.94Total Project-generated Waste Solids637.35306.1800.64Total Project-generated Waste Liquids25.635.1130.71	Non-hazardous waste disposal to approved facilities outside of			
region (<i>TDG regulated</i> - landfilled or recovered) Hazardous, Non- Regulated waste (<i>non TDG</i> , burial in engineered landfill, Nonregulated liquids & solids) Total Project-generated Waste Solids 637.35 306.1 800.64 Total Project-generated Waste Liquids 25.6 35.11 30.71	the region (Food waste etc.)	9.59	4.45	77.87
engineered landfill, Nonregulated liquids & solids)87.05135.435.94Total Project-generated Waste Solids637.35306.1800.64Total Project-generated Waste Liquids25.635.1130.71		8.94	1.6	14.12
Total Project-generated Waste Solids637.35306.1800.64Total Project-generated Waste Liquids25.635.1130.71		87 NF		5.04
Total Project-generated Waste Liquids 25.6 35.11 30.71				
	No. of municipal utility related concerns or complaints	0	0	0



SMR PARTICIPANTS

May 14, Digitalization

Organization	Attendees
	Heather Taylor, Social Performance
LNG Canada	Morganne von Schleinitz, Social Performance
	Amy Pike, Community Liaison Officer
Project Support	Megan Macdonald, Stantec
Froject Support	Kiki Cloutier, Earnscliffe
Haisla Nation	Rob Grant, Fire Chief
District of Kitimat	Warren Waycheshen, CAO
District of Ritifiat	Walsham Tenshak, Director of Economic Development
Kitimat Chamber of Commerce	Laurel D'Andrea, Executive Director
Tamitik Status of Women	Jordana Velho, Executive Director
Tarrillik Status or Worner	Danielle Aiello, Transition House Manager
Kitimat Community Services Society	Cyndi McIntosh, Managing Director
	Darren Beaupre, CEMPO
	Conan Winkelmeyer, CEMPO
	Ron Burleson, MUNI
Province of BC	Catherine Lee, MUNI
	Chelan Zirul, Northern Health
	Larry Joice, WSBC
	Cheryl Gilbert, JEDI
	Barbara Oke, MOH



May 14, Community Health

Organization	Attendees
Organization	Heather Taylor, Social Performance
LNG Canada	Morganne von Schleinitz, Social Performance
	Amy Pike, Community Liaison Officer
	Courtney Nolan, Real Estate Venture Lead
JFJV	Christina Crawford, External Affairs
	Mike Bailey, Emergency Response Coordinator
Project Support	Megan Macdonald, Stantec
Trojock Gappont	Kiki Cloutier, Earnscliffe
Haisla Nation	Rob Grant, Fire Chief
District of Kitimat	Trent Bossence, Fire Chief
City of Terrace	Linda Stevens, Social Development Coordinator
Tamitik Status of Women	Jordana Velho, Executive Director
Tarritik Status of Worner	Danielle Aiello, Transition House Manager
Kitimat Community Services Society	Cyndi McIntosh, Managing Director
Kitimat Chamber of Commerce	Laurel D'Andrea, Executive Director
	Darren Beaupre, CEMPO
	Conan Winkelmeyer, CEMPO
	Ron Burleson, MUNI
Province of BC	Catherine Lee, MUNI
	Chelan Zirul, Northern Health
	Larry Joice, WSBC
	Cheryl Gilbert, JEDI
	Barbara Oke, MOH



May 14, Housing & Accommodations

Organization	Attendees
	Heather Taylor, Social Performance
LNG Canada	Morganne von Schleinitz, Social Performance
	Courtney Nolan, Real Estate Venture Lead
	Christina Crawford, External Affairs
JFJV	Ashoke Ahluwalia, Lodging Operations Manager
	Rob Gosby, Transportation Manager
Project Support	Megan Macdonald, Stantec
Tojout Support	Kiki Cloutier, Earnscliffe
District of Kitimat	Walsham Tenshak, Director of Economic Development
City of Terrace	Linda Stevens, Social Development Coordinator
City of Terrace	David Block, Director of Development Services
Tamitik Status of Women	Jordana Velho, Executive Director
Tarritik Status of Worner	Danielle Aiello, Transition House Manager
Kitimat Chamber of Commerce	Laurel D'Andrea, Executive Director
Kitimat Community Services Society	Cyndi McIntosh, Managing Director
	Darren Beaupre, CEMPO
	Conan Winkelmeyer, CEMPO
Province of BC	Ron Burleson, MUNI
	Catherine Lee, MUNI
	Larry Joice, WSBC
	Chelan Zirul, Northern Health
	Barbara Oke, MOH



May 14, Education

Organization	Attendees
LNG Canada	Heather Taylor, Social Performance
LING Callada	Morganne von Schleinitz, Social Performance
Project Support	Megan Macdonald, Stantec
тојест виррот	Kiki Cloutier, Earnscliffe
Haisla Nation	Angie Maitland, Director of Education
	Aaron Callaghan, Superintendent
	Janelle Hittel, Kildala Elementary
	Nancy Tormene, Kitimat City High
CMSD 82	Spencer Edwards, Kitimat City High
CIVISD 62	David Mills, Nechako Elementary
	Julia Jacobs, MEMSS
	Geraldine Lawlor, Director of Instruction & Innovation
	Tina McDonald, District Principal Early Learning & French Immersion
Province of BC	Barbara Oke, MOH

May 15, Emergency Response & Traffic

Organization	Attendees
LNG Canada	Heather Taylor, Social Performance
LING Carrada	Morganne von Schleinitz, Social Performance
	Christina Crawford, External Affairs
JFJV	Christopher Klassen, CVL Safety Advisor
0.00	Rob Gosby, Transportation Manager
	Mike Bailey, Emergency Response Coordinator
Project Support	Megan Macdonald, Stantec
тојест виррот	Kiki Cloutier, Earnscliffe
Haisla Nation	Rob Grant, Fire Chief
District of Kitimat	Trent Bossence, Fire Chief
City of Terrace	Maggie Hall, CAO
Kitimat RCMP	Staff Sgt. Graham Morgan
	Darren Beaupre, CEMPO
	Conan Winkelmeyer, CEMPO
	Ron Burleson, MUNI
Province of BC	Catherine Lee, MUNI
	Chelan Zirul, Northern Health
	Barbara Oke, MOH
	Cheryl Gilbert, JEDI



May 15, Solid Waste

, ,	
Organization	Attendees
	Heather Taylor, Social Performance
I NC Canada	Morganne von Schleinitz, Social Performance
LNG Canada	Amy Pike, Community Liaison Officer
	Taylor Pura, Waste Management Lead
Drainet Cuppert	Megan Macdonald, Stantec
Project Support	Kiki Cloutier, Earnscliffe
District of Kitimat	Trent Bossence, Fire Chief
District of Kitimat	Alex Ramos-Espinoza
City of Terrace	Ann Maher, Public Works Manager